

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/742,261	12/19/2000	Gary R. McLuen	NEI-00103	7751
7	590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
Jonathan O. C)wens		SINES, B	RIAN J
Haverstock & Owens LLP 162 North Wolfe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sunnyvale, CA	A 94086		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/742,261	MCLUEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian J. Sines	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a treply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO latute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 October 2004.					
·	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 24-26 and 35-42 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 24-26 and 35-42 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the courtness. The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a poriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No.	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

14.7

Application/Control Number: 09/742,261

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 24, 26, 35 and 38 – 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zuellig et al. (US 6,126,904) (hereinafter "Zuellig"). Zuellig teaches devices and methods for the synthesis of combinatorial chemical libraries. As shown in figures 3 and 7A, Zuellig teaches a synthesizer apparatus comprising a first and second bank of vials (reaction vessels 30), wherein each bank of vials comprise first and second drains (exhaust passage 220), respectively. Zuellig further teaches an apparatus comprising: (a) a pressurizing system (common liquid line 50 & common gas line 60) for creating a pressure differential within either one of a first bank of vials or a second bank of vials; and (b) a first waste tube (extension passageway 610) capable of engaging a first drain to purge material from the first bank of vials and the second drain to purge material from the second bank of vials. Zuellig teaches that the introduction of gases into the plurality of reaction vessels 30 facilitates draining and purging procedures (see col. 10, lines 52 – 67). Zuellig indicates that the fluid connections may be in the form of tubes (see col. 6, lines 27 -32). As shown in figures 3 and 7A, Zuellig teaches a secondary or a plurality of vials (reaction vessels 30), wherein each vial additionally comprise a waste tube (extension passageway 610). Zuellig further indicates that purging operations or different synthesis processes may be

Application/Control Number: 09/742,261

Art Unit: 1743

performed separately and selectively using the two sets of reaction vessels 30 (see col. 8, lines 51 -67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 25, 36, 37, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zuellig in view of Kath et al. (US 5,882,601) (hereinafter "Kath"). As discussed above, Zuellig teaches devices and methods for the synthesis of combinatorial chemical libraries. Zuellig does not specifically teach the further incorporation of a flexible drain seal, as recited in the instant claims. However, Zuellig does indicate the desirability of incorporating air-tight seals for the fluidic connections within the apparatus (see col. 9, lines 16 – 34). Kath teaches the incorporation of flexible seals, such as gaskets or o-rings which facilitate an air-tight seal between fluidic connections in chemical processing apparatus (see col. 3, lines 52 – 54). Hence, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the suitability of incorporating such a

Art Unit: 1743

sealing means within an apparatus for chemical processing. In addition, as shown by Kath, a person of ordinary skill in the art would accordingly have had a reasonable expectation for success of incorporating such a flexible sealing means within a chemical processing apparatus for facilitating the effective sealing of the fluidic connections within the apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such a sealing means within the apparatus of Zuellig for facilitating an effective flexible seal, as recited in the instant claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24 - 26 and 35 - 42 have been considered, but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Sines, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11 AM - 8 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/742,261

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5